NOMENCLATURAL NOTES FOR THE NORTH AMERICAN FLORA, XII.

John T. Kartesz & Kancheepuram N. Gandhi

North Carolina Botanical Garden, Dept. of Biology, Coker Hall, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3280, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

Turner's usage of the name Ruellia nudiflora var. runyonii is justified. Aira elegans, Tetragonia tetragonioides, and Tradescantia spathacea are accepted to replace A. elegantissma, Tetragonia expansa, and Tradescantia discolor, respectively. The authorship of Anthaenantia rufa, Arundinaria gigantea, Coridochloa cimicina, Deschampsia danthonioides, D. elongata, Digitaria ischaemum, Orthocarpus imbricatus, O. purpureoalbus, Sclerochloa californica, Townsendia condensata, and Vulpia microstachya is addressed. Typification of Atriplex canescens, Erechtites, Menodora longiflora, M. scabra, and Uraspermum barbatum is discussed.

KEY WORDS: Acanthaceae, Aizoaceae, Apiaceae, Asteraceae, Chenopodiaceae, Commelinaceae, Oleaceae, Poaceae, Scrophulariaceae, Aira, Anthaenantia, Arundinaria, Atriplez, Coridochloa, Deschampsia, Digitaria, Erechtites, Menodora, Orthocarpus, Ruellia, Sclerochloa, Tetragonia, Townsendia, Tradescantia, Uraspermum, Vulpia.

INTRODUCTION

Continuing with the "NOMENCLATURAL NOTES FOR THE NORTH AMERICAN FLORA" (Kartesz & Gandhi 1989, 1990a, 1990b, 1990c, 1991a, 1991b, 1991c, 1991d, 1992a, 1992b, 1992c), a twelfth note in the series is presented here toward advancing our understanding of North American plant names.

ACANTHACEAE

Ruellia nudiflora var. runyonii

Turner (1991, p. 291) treated Ruellia runyonii Tharp & Barkley at varietal rank making the combination R. nudiflora var. runyonii (Tharp & Barkley) B. Turner. He further cited R. runyonii var. berlandieri Tharp & Barkley as a synonym of var. runyonii. Some workers may believe that Turner erred in choosing the epithet runyonii over the epithet berlandieri, since the latter existed as a varietal name, and since a name has priority within its own rank (International Code of Botanical Nomenclature [ICBN] Art. 60.1). However, we assert that Turner was correct in his treatment and that any nomenclatural confusion may be attributed to the absence of a discussion and to the incomplete basionym citation by Turner. Our discussion is provided here.

When Tharp & Barkley (Amer. Midl. Naturalist 42:56. 1949) proposed Ruellia runyonii var. berlandieri, they automatically created R. runyonii var. runyonii (ICBN Art. 26.2). If these two varieties represent a single taxon, then the autonym (i.e., var. runyonii) has priority over var. berlandieri (ICBN Art. 57.3).

Ruellia nudiflora (Engelm. & Gray) Urban var. runyonii (Tharp & Barkley)
B. Turner, Phytologia 71:291. 1991. BASIONYM: Ruellia runyonii
Tharp & Barkley (Amer. Midl. Naturalist 42:56. 1949.) var. runyonii (automatically created by Ruellia runyonii Tharp & Barkley var. berlandieri Tharp & Barkley).

Ruellia runyonii Tharp & Barkley var. berlandieri Tharp & Barkley, Amer. Midl. Naturalist 42:56, 1949

AIZOACEAE

Tetragonia tetragonioides

The New Zealand Spinach, a native of Asia and Australia, has become naturalized throughout the United States: CA, CT, FL, HI, MA, NC, ND, NY, PA, WV, to Caribbean (Kartesz, unpublished). Many workers, such as Small (1933, p. 493), Adamson (J. S. African Bot. 21:147. 1955.), Munz (1959, p. 308), Ahles (in Radford et al. 1968, p. 431), and Bogle (J. Arnold Arbor. 51:460. 1970.) have used the name Tetragonia expansa Murr. (published in 1783) for this species. Of these authors, Bogle alone mentioned T. tetragonioides (Pall.) O. Kuntze (based on Demidovia tetragonioides Pall., published in 1781) as a synonym, but provided no discussion for using a later name and citing an earlier one in synonymy. Wunderlin (1982, p. 179), Jessop (1986, p. 205), Pienaar (in Gibbs Russell et al. 1987, p. 25), recognized the name T.

tetragonioides and cited T. expansa as a synonym. Although we corresponded with Bogle regarding his usage of the name T. expansa, he had no additional information. With the information available, we recognize T. tetragonioides to be the correct name for this species.

Tetragonia tetragonioides (Pall.) O. Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 2:269. 1891. BASIONYM: Demidovia tetragonioides Pall., Enum. Hort. Demidof. 150, t. 1. 1781.

Tetragonia expansa Murr., Commentat. Soc. Regiae Sci. Gott. 6:13, t. 5, 1783,

APIACEAE

Typification of Uraspermum barbatum

Lowry & Jones (Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 71:1162. 1984.) stated that McVaugh et al. (Bull. Cranebrook Inst. Sci. 34:79. 1953.) lectotypified the name Uraspermum barbatum Farwell by Farwell 8490 (BLH). They considered the duplicate collection on deposit at GH as the isolectotype.

Farwell (Amer. Midl. Naturalist 12:70. 1930.), in the protologue of Uraspermum barbatum, cited "Rocky woods, Copper Harbor, No. 8490, Jul 24, 1929." Since Farwell cited a single collection, no syntypes are involved and thus, lectotypification is unnecessary. The collection at BLH must be designated as the holotype, and duplicates of this collection found elsewhere must be designated as isotypes. McVaugh et al. (p. 10) asserted a similar opinion: "When he (Farwell) cited no more than one number or collection with the description of a new entity, we have taken the cited specimen as the type ... When Farwell cited more than one number with the description, a lectotype must be designated ..." We conclude that McVaugh et al. did not designate a lectotype and that Lowry & Jones misinterpreted McVaugh et al.'s treatment. McVaugh (NCU: pers. comm.) concurred with our analysis.

Uraspermum barbatum Farwell, Amer. Midl. Naturalist 12:70. 1930. HOLO-TYPE: UNITED STATES. Michigan: Keweenaw Co., 24 Jul 1929, Farwell 8490 (BLH).

ASTERACEAE Executives

Rafinesque (1817) published Erechtites as a monotypic genus with E. praealta Raf. as its species. Therefore, E. praealta must be cited as the type species of this genus, which Belcher (Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 43:10. 1956.) and Farr et al. [Index Nominum Genericorum (Plantarum) 2:631. 1979.] correctly did. However, Barkley & Cronquist (N. Amer. Fl. II. 140. 1978.) cited E. hieraciifolia (L.) Raf. ex DC. as the type, which amounted to a neotypification. Although E. praealta is presently treated as a taxonomic synonym of E. hieraciifolia, the former name stands as the type species of Erechtites.

Erechtites Raf., Fl. Ludov. 65. 1817. TYPE: Erechtites praealta Raf.

Townsendia condensata

Parry (Amer. Naturalist 8:106. 1874.) proposed Townsendia condensata as a provisional name (nom. invalid.; ICBN Art. 34.1a). Later, Eaton (Amer. Naturalist 8:213. 1874.) used the name T. condensata and provided a description. Subsequent workers, such as Beaman (1957, p. 118), accepted Eaton as the author of this species. Our analysis follows.

Although it appears that Eaton validated this name, his protologue indicates otherwise: "Not having seen a specimen of Nuttall's T. incana, I have some doubt as to whether this may not be his plant of that name. If not, it may properly bear the name which Dr. Parry has proposed." It is evident from Eaton's remarks that he did not definitely accept this name; therefore this name was not validated in Eaton's treatment (ICBN Art. 34.1a, b). To the best of our knowledge, Gray was the first to validate the name Townsendia condensata, and he ascribed the name to Parry; therefore, the correct author citation of this species is: Parry ex A. Gray.

Townsendia condensata Parry ex A. Gray, Syn. Fl. N. Amer. 1(2):167. 1884.

CHENOPODIACEAE Atriplex canescens var. canescens

According to Stutz (1978), the type specimen of Atriplez canescens (Pursh) Nutt. (a Lewis collection from South Dakota) is referable to the low subherbaceous expressions of this species, which have been known as A. canescens ssp. aptera (A. Nelson) Hall & Clements. This assertion by Stutz is contrary to the

established usage of the name A. canescens, which pertains to the tall shrubby expressions of this species throughout the west. If Stutz's conclusion is correct, then the tall shrubby expression of this complex requires a new name, either at specific or infraspecific rank. However, McNeill et al. (1983, p. 553) rejected the Stutz analysis. These authors indicated that the Lewis collection was a mixture of both the low and the tall expressions of this complex. With this finding. McNeill et al. lectotypified the name A. canescens with that portion of the mixed collection assignable to the tall expression, and thus maintained its traditional usage.

Without referencing McNeill et al. (l.c.), Welsh & Stutz (in Welsh 1984, p. 188) accepted Stutz's typification and proposed a new combination [Atriplex canescens var. occidentalis (Torr. & Frem.) Welsh & Stutz to include the tall expression of A. canescens, found in Utah. Their new combination is based on the type of Pterochiton occidentale Torr. & Frem. collected from the Great Salt Lake. Welsh (in Welsh et al. 1987, p. 118) continued to recognize the name A. canescens var. occidentalis. However, Dorn (1988, p. 303) remarked that Welsh's use of the preceding name "appears inappropriate in light of selection of a lectotype by McNeill et al. (Taxon 32:553, 1983.)."

We accept McNeill's lectotypification, and thus recognize var. occidentalis as a taxonomic synonym of Atriplex canescens var. canescens, since the type of the typical expression of A. canescens includes the type of var. occidentalis.

Atriplex canescens (Pursh) Nutt., Gen. N. Amer. Pl. 1:197. 1817. Atriplex canescens (Pursh) Nutt. var. canescens. BASIONYM: Calligonum canescens Pursh, Fl. Amer. Sept. 2:370, 1814, LECTOTYPE (vide McNeill et al. Taxon 32:553, 1983.); UNITED STATES, South Dakota: Big bend of Missouri, 21 Sep 1804, Lewis s.n., right hand shoot of the specimen (PH).

Pterochiton occidentale Torr. & Frem. in Frem., Rep. Exped. Rocky Mts. 318. 1845. Atriplex canescens (Pursh) Nutt. var. occidentalis (Torr. & Frem.) Welsh & Stutz, Great Basin Naturalist 44:188. 1984.

COMMELINACEAE Tradescantia spathacea

The boat-lily, a widespread tropical weed of the New World, has long been known as either Tradescantia spathacea Sw. or T. discolor L'Her. Stearn (Baileya 5:195-198. 1957.) indicated that the name T. discolor (published in Dec 1788-Jan 1789) has priority over the competing name T. spathacea (published in Jun-Jul 1788). He transferred T. spathacea to the genus Rhoeo and made

the combination: Rhoeo spathacea (Sw.) Stearn. However, we maintain the boat-lily within Tradescantia.

Later, Tucker (J. Arnold Arbor. 70:111. 1989.) recognized the name *Tradescantia discolor* for the boat-lily and cited *T. spathacea* and *R. spathacea* as synonyms. He also erred in attributing *R. spathacea* to: (Sw.) Hance.

Tradescantia spathacea Sw., Prodr. 57. Jun-Jul 1788. Rhoeo spathacea (Sw.) Stearn, Baileya 5:198. 1957.

Tradescantia discolor L'Her., Sert. Angl. 8, t. 12. Jan 1789.

OLEACEAE

Menodora longiflora and M. scabra

Steyermark (1932) attributed the names Menodora longiflora (pp. 143-147) and M. scabra (pp. 134-137) to "(Engelm.) Gray," and cited "Lindheimer 652" and "Wislizenus 68," respectively as the types. Turner (1991a, pp. 347, 352) attributed both names to "Engelm. ex A. Gray." Regarding typification, Turner recognized the Wislizenus specimen as the "holotype" for M. scabra, but designated Lindheimer's collection as the lectotype for M. longiflora. Our analysis on the authorship and the typification of these two names follows.

The authorship of the names *Menodora longiflora* and *M. scabra* must not be cited as "Engelm. ex Gray", since Gray (Amer. J. Sci. II. 14:44-45. 1852.) did not ascribe them to Engelmann. Although Gray cited the reference "Bolivaria longiflora Engelm. Mss." for *M. longiflora* and the reference "Bolivaria scabra Engelm. Mss." for *M. scabra*, these two unpublished names of Engelmann do not qualify as basionyms; therefore, the authorship must not be cited as "(Engelm.) Gray." We conclude that Gray alone is the author of both *M. longiflora* and *M. scabra*. Their typification is discussed below.

In the protologue of Menodora longiflora, Gray referenced "Texas, Lindheimer (no. 652, & C.), Wright (No. 564)." Since Gray cited two collections, this name needed lectotypification, which Steyermark (1932, p. 146) did by Lindheimer's specimen. Although Steyermark referenced both collections, he designated Lindheimer's specimen as the type, but did not state the reasons for his preference of Lindheimer's specimen over Wright's specimen. Nevertheless, Steyermark's typification must be construed as lectotypification. Therefore, Turner's lectotypification of this name by Lindheimer's specimen is irrelevant.

Regarding Menodora scabra, Gray referenced the following: New Mexico, Wislizenus, Fendler (no. 693), Wright (No. 563). Saltillo, México, Gregg (No. 527). Since Gray cited three collections from New Mexico and one collection from México, the name needed lectotypification. Of these four collections, Steyermark (1932, p. 136) referenced Wislizenus' and Fendler's collections,

but did not comment on Wright's and Gregg's collections. As noted above, Stevermark's typification of this name by Wislizenus' specimen must be construed as lectotypification. For this reason, Turner's designation of Wislizenus' specimen as the holotype must be corrected as the lectotype chosen by Stevermark

- Menodora longiflora A. Gray, Amer. J. Sci. II. 14:45. 1852. LECTOTYPE (vide Steyermark, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 19:146. 1932.): UNITED STATES, Texas: Upper Guadaloupe, Jun 1847, Lindheimer 652 (MO).
- Menodora scabra A. Gray, Amer. J. Sci. II. 14:44. 1852. LECTOTYPE (vide Steyermark, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 19:136. 1932.): UNITED STATES. New Mexico: Ojo del Muerto, south of Santa Fe. 2 Aug 1846. Wislizenus 68 (MO).

POACEAE Aira

We (Phytologia 69:301-302. 1990.) stated that the name Aira elegans Willd. ex Gaudin was not validly published in Gaudin's work (Agrost. Helv. 1:130. 1881.). Therefore, we followed Tutin (Fl. Europaea 5:227. 1980.) in accepting the name A. elegantissima Schur (published in 1853). Later, we found that Kunth (1833, p. 289) used the name A. elegans and validated it. Since A. elegans has priority from 1833, it is indeed the correct name for this species.

Aira elegans Willd. ex Kunth, Enum. Pl. 1:289. 1833.

Aira elegantissima Schur, Verh. Mitth. Siebenburg. Vereins Naturwiss. Hermannstadt 4(Sert. Fl. Transs.):85, 1853.

Anthaenantia rufa, Aulaxanthus rufus, Aulaxia rufa

The name Aulaxanthus rufus has been generally credited to Elliott (Hitchcock & Chase 1951, p. 818; Gould 1975, p. 420). Our analysis follows.

In the protologue of Aulaxanthus rufus, Elliott (1816, p. 103) remarked: "It may be merely a variety produced by a difference of soil ... I insert it here for future observation." Elliott's remarks explicitly indicate that he did not definitely accept this name and that he proposed it as a provisional name. Since Elliott did not meet the requirements of valid publication of Aulaxanthus rufus (Art. 34.1a, b), he must not be cited as a parenthetical author for later combinations based on his type (Art. 45.3). Nuttall (1818, p. 47) transferred Elliott's name to Aulaxia Nutt. making the combination Aulaxia rufa, for which Nuttall alone is the author (i.e., no parenthetical authorship involved). For all subsequent combinations based on Elliott's type, Nuttall must be cited as the parenthetical author. Presently, we are recognizing this taxon in the genus Anthaenantia P. Beauv.

Anthaenantia rufa (Nutt.) Schultes, Mant. 2:258. 1824. BASIONYM:
Aulaxia rufa Nutt., Gen. N. Amer. Pl. 1:47. 1818. Aulaxanthus rufus Ell., Sketch Bot. S. Carolina 1:103. 1816, nom. pro. (nom. invalid.).

Arundinaria gigantea

Walter (1788, p. 81) described the cane grass in Carolina as Arundo gigantea. Without referencing Walter, Michaux (1803, p. 74) described the same grass as Arundinaria macrosperma; therefore, the types for Walter's name and Michaux's name are different. In his 1813 Catalogue, Muhlenberg (p. 14) ascribed the name Arundinaria gigantea to Walter. Muhlenberg's reference to Walter must be construed as an indirect reference to the basionym Arundo gigantea Walt.; therefore, the combination Arundinaria gigantea (Walt.) Muhl. was validly made (ICBN Art. 33.2). Elliott (1816, p. 96), without referencing Muhlenberg, recognized the name Arundinaria macrosperma and cited Arundo gigantea as its synonym.

The status of the name Arundinaria gigantea in Chapman (1860, p. 561) is a complicated issue. He did not mention its authorship. Furthermore, he referenced Arundinaria macrosperma, but made no reference to Walter, Muhlenberg, or Elliott. It is most likely that Chapman was aware of Muhlenberg's name and used it. But, in the absence of a direct or indirect reference to Walter, Muhlenberg, or Elliott, Chapman's usage should be construed as a nom. nov. for A. macrosperma, and the type for A. gigantea Chapm. must be the same as that of A. macrosperma. In other words, A. gigantea Chapm. is a later homonym of A. gigantea (Walt.) Muhl., rather than an isonym.

Arundinaria gigantea (Walt.) Muhl., Cat. Pl. Amer. Sept. 14. 1813. BA-SIONYM: Arundo gigantea Walt., Fl. Car. 81. 1788.

Arundinaria macrosperma Michx., Fl. Bor.-Amer. 1:74. 1803. Arundinaria gigantea Chapm., Fl. S. U.S. 561. 1860, non (Walt.) Muhl.

Coridochloa

The combining authorship of Coridochloa cimicina has often been attributed to Nees ex Jacks. (Hitchcock & Chase 1951, p. 847). Our analysis follows.

Jackson cited this name as a synonym of *Panicum cimicinum*; therefore, he did not validate the combination. To the best of our knowledge, Chase was the first to validate the combination.

Coridochloa cimicina (L.) Nees [ex Jacks., Ind. Kew. 1:618. 1893, pro. syn.]
ex Chase, Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 24:129. 1911.

Deschampsia, Vulpia, Sclerochloa

Hitchcock & Chase (1951, pp. 293, 853, 874) and Holmgren (in Cronquist et al. 1977, pp. 204, 260, 262) cited the combining authorship of Deschampsia danthonioides, D. elongata, and Vulpia microstachya as Munro ex Benth. On p. 341, Bentham (1857, p. 341, footnote) stated that the taxonomy of grasses was determined by Munro; therefore, the four novelties proposed in the grass section of this work must be credited to Munro alone.

Deschampsia danthonioides (Trin.) Munro in Benth., Pl. Hartw. 342. 1857.

Deschampsia elongata (Hook.) Munro in Benth., Pl. Hartw. 342. 1857.

Sclerochloa californica Munro in Benth., Pl. Hartw. 342. 1857, nom. nud.

Vulpia microstachya (Nutt.) Munro in Benth., Pl. Hartw. 342. 1857.

Digitaria

Hitchcock & Chase (1951, p. 854), Gould (1975, p. 411), and Holmgren (in Cronquist et al. 1977, p. 365) cited the combining authorship of Digitaria ischaemum as Schreb. ex Muhl. Regarding its valid publication, Gould cited Muhlenberg's 1813 work, whereas Hitchcock & Chase, and Holmgren cited Muhlenberg's 1817 work. Our analysis follows.

For his combination Digitaria ischaemum, Muhlenberg (1813) neither provided a description nor referenced its basionym details (Panicum ischaemum Schreb.). Since he failed to cite even the author of the basionym, he clearly did not meet the requirements of ICBN Art. 32.4 for indirect reference. Therefore, this combination was not validly made in this work. Later, Muhlenberg (1817) described this grass and cited Schreber, but failed to include the basionym. Based on ICBN Art. 32 Ex. 5, Muhlenberg's citation of Schreber must be construed as an indirect reference to P. ischaemum; hence, Muhlenberg alone is the combining author of D. ischaemum. The correct author citation is given below.

Digitaria ischaemum (Schreb.) Muhl., Descr. Gram. 131. 1817.

SCROPHULARIACEAE

Authorship of Orthocarpus imbricatus and O. purpureoalbus

Chuang & Heckard (1992, pp. 567, 579) attributed the name Orthocarpus purpureoalbus to A. Gray in S. Watson and O. imbricatus to Torrey in S. Watson. These two names were published in the appendix portion (pp. 429-497) of the 5th volume of United States Geological Exploration (sic) of the Fortieth Parallel by C. King (also called Botany [fortieth parallel] or Bot. King Exped.) published in 1871. Although the botany section included contributions from Eaton, Engelmann, Gray, James, Olney, Robbins, Torrey, and Tuckerman, the text was chiefly written and authored by S. Watson. The contribution of each author is indicated on the appropriate page. Since no author was listed for the appendix, S. Watson was responsible for its contents. Although he ascribed the name O. purpureoalbus to Gray and O. imbricatus to Torrey, and cited their herbarium manuscript, he did not definitely indicate that the validating description of these two taxa were from Gray or Torrey. Therefore, S. Watson must be credited for the valid publication of these two names, as given below.

Orthocarpus imbricatus Torr. ex S. Wats., Botany [fortieth parallel] 458. 1871.

Orthocarpus purpureoalbus A. Gray ex S. Wats., Botany [fortieth parallel] 458. 1871.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. R. Dale Thomas (NLU) and Dr. Larry E. Brown (SBSC) for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

Bentham, G. 1857. Plantae Hartwegianae, fascicle zz. Gulielmus Pamplin, London, Great Britain.

Chapman, A.W. 1860. Flora of the Southern United States. Ivison, Phinney, & Co., New York, New York.

- Cronquist, A. et al. 1977. Intermountain Flora, vol. 6: Monocotyledons. The New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, New York.
- Dorn, R.D. 1988. Vascular Plants of Wyoming. Mountain West Publishing, Box 1471. Cheyenne, Wyoming.
- Elliott, S. 1816. A Sketch of the Botany of South-Carolina and Georgia. Charleston, South Carolina.
- Gibbs Russell, G.E. et al. 1987. List of Species of Southern African Plants, ed. 2, part 2: Dicotyledons. Mem. Bot. Survey S. Africa No. 56.
- Gould, F.W. 1975. Grasses of Texas. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, Texas.
- Greuter, W. (Ch. Ed. Comm.). 1988. International Code of Botanical Nomenclature. Adopted by the Fourteenth International Botanical Congress, Berlin, Jul-Aug 1987. Regnum Veg. 118.
- Hitchcock, A.S. & A. Chase. 1951. Manual of Grasses of the United States, ed. 2. MP 200. United States Govt. Printing Office, Washington DC.
- Hitchcock, C.L. et al. 1964. Vascular Plants of the Pacific Northwest, pt. 2: Salicaceae to Saxifragaceae. Univ. Washington Publ. Biol., vol. 17.
- Jessop, J.P. 1986. Aizoaceae. Pp. 191-207. In: J.P. Jessop & H.R. Toelken (eds.), Fl. S. Australia, ed. 4, part 1: Lycopodiaceae-Rosaceae. South Australian Govt. Printing Division, Adelaide, Australia.
- Kartesz, J.T. 1993. A Synonymized Checklist of the Vascular Flora of United States, Canada & Greenland, ed. 2 in press. Timber Press, Portland, Oregon.
- & K.N. Gandhi. 1989. Nomenclatural notes for the North American flora I. Phytologia 67:461-468.
- _____ & _____. 1990a. Nomenclatural notes for the North American flora II. Phytologia 68:421-427.
- _____ & ____. 1990b. Nomenclatural notes for the North American flora III. Phytologia 69:129-137.
- _____ & _____. 1990c. Nomenclatural notes for the North American flora IV. Phytologia 69:301-312.

1991a. flora - V. Phytologia 70	otes for	the North	American
flora - VI. Phytologia 7	otes for	the North	American
flora - VII. Phytologia	otes for	the North	American
& 1991d flora - VIII. Phytologia	otes for	the North	American

- _____ & ____ 1992a. Nomenclatural notes for the North American flora IX. Phytologia 72:17-30.
- _____ & _____ 1992b. Nomenclatural notes for the North American flora X. Phytologia 72:80-92.
- _____ & _____. 1992c. Nomenclatural notes for the North American flora XI. Phytologia 72:124-136.
- Kunth, C.S. 1833. Enumeratio Plantarum, vol. 1: Agrostographia Synoptica, Suppl. Stuttgart, Tubingen, Germany.
- McNeill, J. 1983. Taxonomic and nomenclatural notes on Atriplex L. (Chenopodiaceae). Taxon 32:549-556.
- Michaux, A. 1803. Flora Boreali-Americana, vol. 1. Paris, France.
- Muhlenberg, H. 1813. Catalogos Plantarum Americae Septentrinalis. Lancaster, Pennsylvania.
- _____ 1817. Descriptio Uberior Graminum. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Munz, P.A. 1959. California Flora. University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- Nuttall, T. 1818. The Genera of North American Plants. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Radford, A.E. et al. 1968. Manual of the Vascular Flora of the Carolinas. The University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.
- Rafinesque, C.S. 1817. Florula Ludoviciana. New York, New York.
- Small, K. 1933. Manual of the Southeastern Flora. Published by the author, New York, New York.

- Steyermark, J.A. 1932. A revision of the genus Menodora. Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 19:87-176.
- Stutz, H.C. 1978. Explosive evolution of perennial Atriplex in western North America. Pp. 161-168 in: K.T. Harper & J.L. Reveal (eds.), Intermountain Biogeography: A Symposium. Great Basin Naturalist Mem. No. 2. Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah.
- Turner, B.L. 1991. Texas species of Ruellia (Acanthaceae). Phytologia 71:281-299.
- ______ 1991a. An overview of the North American species of *Menodora* (Oleaceae). Phytologia 71:340-356.
- Walter, T. 1788. Flora Caroliniana. London, Great Britain.
- Welsh, S.L. 1984. Utah flora: Chenopodiaceae. Great Basin Naturalist 44:183-209.
- et al. 1987. A Utah flora. Great Basin Naturalist Mem. No. 9. Brigham Young University. Provo, Utah.
- Wunderlin, R.P. 1982. Guide to Vascular Plants of Central Florida. University Press of Florida, Tampa, Florida.